


From the Desk of

PATRICK MOORE, PH.D.

Former Chair of the CO₂ Coalition



Dear Mr. Venrick,

It is incredibly frustrating to watch the organization I helped to found remain quiet while whales and eagles are dying for no good reason.

My name is Patrick Moore, and first and foremost, I'm a scientist.

I have a Ph.D. in Ecology from the University of British Columbia and a Honorary Doctorate of Science from North Carolina State University. But I am probably better known as a co-founder of Greenpeace.

At its founding, Greenpeace used science to help better the world's environment. I proudly served as the President of Greenpeace Canada for nine years, and then seven years as a Director for Greenpeace International.

But in 1986, I had to leave. Greenpeace had been hijacked by the political left.

Today, you won't find Greenpeace workers fighting for whales — using science to help make our environment better — instead, they work from their cushy offices making fat salaries, hobnobbing with political operatives at the U.N., World Economic Forum, and other political organizations.

Greenpeace now specializes — not in science — but in pushing apocalyptic stories to raise money and gain political power and control.

The solar and wind programs that Greenpeace, political organizations — and our government — promote as “clean, renewable” sources of energy are proving to be anything but environmentally friendly. This is backed by science.

The best policies for people — and for our environment — are backed by sound science, balanced with societal needs. That's why I joined the CO₂ Coalition, and why I also served as its Chair for two years.

And Mr. Venrick, that's also why I hope you will take a moment to read the enclosed letter from the CO₂ Coalition's Executive Director, Gregory Wrightstone.

Day after day, Greg takes on the climate alarmists. He is well armed with facts provided by more than 120 members representing various fields of science and economics. And together, we work to destroy Climate Fiction using Climate Facts.

Frankly, the CO₂ Coalition needs people like you to stand with us and help to spread this message far and wide. I hope you will take a moment to read Greg's letter — and then I hope you will join our fight today.

Sincerely,


Patrick Moore
Patrick Moore, Ph.D.



2677 PROSPERITY AVENUE • SUITE 300 • FAIRFAX, VIRGINIA 22031



2677 Prosperity Ave
Suite 300
Fairfax, VA 22031



**GREGORY
WRIGHTSTONE**
Executive Director

November 14, 2025

Mr. John Venrick
20561 Wild Horse Ranch Rd.
Rollins, MT 59931

Dear Mr. Venrick,

It's been said that ad-hominem attacks are the last resort for those losing the battle of critical thinking.

That's why I now tend to view words like: discredited ...denier ... dangerous ... as a badge of honor.

These words, and others, have all been used to describe my notable colleagues and me because we all dare to disagree through scientific data, clear-headed thinking, and scientific rigor with the so-called "consensus" drumbeat of catastrophic climate change.

For years, scientists like me have been playing defense in the battle for scientific integrity.

We have had posts on social media flagged or removed for "misinformation." We have had our names dragged through the mud by mainstream media pundits. Our messages have purposely been suppressed, and in some cases, we've been removed from media platforms altogether – silenced before making a single comment!

However, as I write to you today, the CO₂ Coalition is now going on the offense . . .

. . . we are re-drawing the battle lines for the climate debate and good science.

And Mr. Venrick, I'm hoping you will join our fight today.

My name is Gregory Wrightstone, and I'm the executive director of the **CO₂ Coalition**. Like our former chairman, Patrick Moore, I am a scientist.

I'm a geologist with more than 35 years of experience researching and studying various aspects of the Earth's processes. I also was accepted as an Expert Reviewer for the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

Perhaps you have read or seen one of my bestselling books: *Inconvenient Facts: The science that Al Gore doesn't want you to know*, or my latest book, *A Very Convenient Warming*. In addition to writing, I have had nearly 300 interviews in the last 12 months, so it is likely that you may have seen or heard me as a guest on many popular radio, podcast, and television platforms.

The CO₂ Coalition was founded in 2015 as a 501(c)(3) to educate thought leaders, policymakers and the public about the important contributions of carbon dioxide to our lives and the economy. We want to strengthen and promote the understanding of science and stop radical

climate alarmism that is undermining science and destroying our country. And we are the “tip of the spear” when it comes to advancing the science, facts, and data about how more CO₂ is having a positive contribution to our ecosystems and to humanity.

In fact, the new administration requested our input on science policy leaders, and we provided them with a list of 54 qualified, thoughtful scientists who were dedicated to the principles of free speech and scientific integrity.

There is simply no other organization like the CO₂ Coalition. We stick to the science and believe it will win this battle between Climate Fact and Climate Fiction.

But we need more people like you working alongside us to defeat those who want to keep us silent.

When Americans like you stand with the CO₂ Coalition — we can continue pushing back against the oftentimes fake science that is used to promote economically destructive policies like Net Zero and the Green New Deal.

Together, we can beat the censors with honesty, integrity and unabashed truth telling. Yes, we can counter the false narrative of a climate emergency induced by the emissions of carbon dioxide from everyday human activity.

It's because of friends like you that we are able to research, produce and disseminate factual, science-based information – information routinely censored – on four critical fronts: to legislators ... to the courts ... to educators ... and to the public.

It's critically important that we present this message directly to the people.

There are so many people today living in fear of a “climate apocalypse,” who believe the world is actually burning because of CO₂ emissions. And why wouldn't they?

Facebook, LinkedIn and other social media companies, along with the legacy media, have become tools of propaganda – making them a source of indoctrination – not tools for truth as they would have you believe.

I understand the strong desire to have clean air and water, beautiful forests and vibrant, diverse plant and animal life. I even understand an altruistic desire to “do the right thing.”

But what I will not stand for is the intentional manipulation of science to promote a “Climate Crisis” and apocalyptic, world-ending fantasies.

Let me be clear on one point: Our climate always has – and always will – change, but there is no climate crisis – let alone a threat posed by CO₂.

In fact, Dr. John Clauser, the 2022 Nobel Laureate in Physics, is a recent addition to our Board. At a recent lecture on quantum physics in Korea he stated, “I can confidently say there is no real climate crisis.”

There is no scientific basis for a fear of too much CO₂ in the atmosphere and no reason why civilization should not continue using both fossil fuels and nuclear power.

Despite all of the scientific evidence to the contrary, many people have asserted an utterly unscientific statement that “the science is settled” as a pretense to shut down all discussion or debate.

But a scientific “consensus” cannot remotely be considered “settled science.”

Climate science is a vast field involving physics, chemistry, biology, earth science, agriculture, geology, engineering and many more. There is no way any one person could possibly master all of it.

That’s why the CO₂ Coalition is made up of more than 180 members – and growing – who specialize in multiple fields of study.

Our diverse membership allows us to examine *all* of the information available regarding CO₂ and climate change and provide leaders, the media and the public with the best possible analysis and information.

One of the world’s leading environmentalists – Dr. Patrick Moore – is not only a member of the CO₂ Coalition, but he also served as the Chair of the CO₂ Coalition from 2019-2020. If you read his letter, you already know why he left Greenpeace in 1986 – an organization he helped found.

And you also know that he is not a quack or partisan hack, like so many adherents to the climate-change narrative would have you believe. He has also recently written a book disputing that additional CO₂ in the atmosphere is causing a climate crisis.

But it’s also important to understand that Dr. Clauser, Dr. Moore and I are not alone.

Perhaps one of the best statements casting doubt on an approaching climate crisis is by emeritus physicist at MIT and former CO₂ Coalition Board member, Richard Lindzen – perhaps the world’s leading authority on the dynamics of the middle atmosphere and radiative processes:

“What historians will definitely wonder about in future centuries is how deeply flawed logic, obscured by shrewd and unrelenting propaganda, actually enabled a coalition of powerful special interests to convince nearly everyone in the world that CO₂ from human industry was a dangerous, planet-destroying toxin.

It will be remembered as the greatest mass delusion in the history of the world – that CO₂, the life of plants, was considered for a time to be a deadly poison.”

Dr. Lindzen is definitely right that there is “a coalition of powerful special interests” pushing the doomsday science-fiction of a “Climate Crisis.” These people recognize that the easiest way to get nations to authorize spending trillions of dollars they don’t have is by scaring their citizens.

Another well-known and highly respected CO₂ Coalition member is our current chair, Dr. William Happer, Ph.D.

Dr. Happer is a physicist, the Cyrus Fogg Brackett Professor of Physics, Emeritus, at Princeton University. His long professional career has been devoted to the interaction of radiation with matter. Few know more than he does about how carbon dioxide, other greenhouse gases and clouds affect Earth’s climate.

Yet, this eminent scientist had his content censored by Facebook this past December.

What did he say that was so problematic?

“Nothing but good can come from more atmospheric CO₂. The Earth has experimented with much higher CO₂ concentrations than today many times over the Phanerozoic eon, the last 540 million years or so, where the fossil record of life is especially good. Life flourished at four times more CO₂ than today. There is no geological evidence that more CO₂ will be anything but good for life on Earth.”

Every statement Dr. Happer made was factual – backed up by peer-reviewed research spanning many decades.

However, when it comes to the climate debate, social media giants like Facebook, the legacy media, government bodies and well-funded institutions make up an unholy alliance that seeks to suppress well-founded scientific research and conclusions that do not fit the “approved” narrative.

Science does not need an approved narrative, especially if it's founded on the scientific method.

But ideologues in government, social media, academia and sadly, even our leading scientific organizations are putting politics ahead of the scientific method – destroying the integrity of the very science they claim to love.

Scientific integrity is being decimated from within as many of our leading scientific institutions are actively banning dissent within their ranks.

One such organization is the American Chemical Society (ACS).

The American Chemical Society (ACS) has a unique and respected position in our science-driven world. Their statements contribute significantly to the scientific support for government, media, and corporate actions – because of this, it is imperative that such statements be firmly based on the scientific method rather than ideological dogma.

Except the ACS' recent Public Policy Statement on climate change and the conclusions they have reached do not meet this standard.

Prior to 2010, all sides of the CO₂ debate presented our science in a lively discussion in *Chemical & Engineering News (C&EN)*. This debate came to a head when the Editor of *C&EN* published scathing criticisms of those who questioned the thesis that CO₂ causes dangerous warming.

But after a balanced article reviewing all sides of the issue by Stephen Ritter was published in December 2009, dissenting voices to the ideological narrative have not been allowed.

This is a shameful situation that is purely political – and it needs to be fixed.

As the preeminent scientific organization dedicated to the science of CO₂ and the Climate debate, the CO₂ Coalition has taken the lead to hold our scientific institutions, whether they are private, government-sponsored, or within academia accountable for abdicating the scientific method and censoring dissent in favor of promoting an ideology.

In March, we sent a letter to the leadership of the ACS asking them to reevaluate their Public Policy Statement on Climate Change, recommending that they consider major revisions and to reinstate the scientific method as the foundation from which such policies should be derived.

This letter has been signed by 50 members of various scientific societies and the CO₂ Coalition, including eight members of the ACS itself.

This is merely our opening salvo in our fight to restore scientific integrity – and specifically reliance and adherence to the scientific method – to the scientific community.

We plan to take these efforts further – and expose the ideological rot that has taken root in other organizations including the National Science Teachers Association, American Physical Society, National Academy of Science, American Meteorology Society and many others.

The scientists here at the CO₂ Coalition are not afraid of this fight.

We've been called every name in the book and have had our reputations dragged through the mud for years.

Now, we have an opportunity to truly fight back – and we aren't going to waste it.

The fight for scientific integrity – and against “the science” narrative – is crucial for not just good public policy, but scientific and technological innovation, as well as the education of our nation's children.

The slavish adherence to the alarmist narrative has already decreased advancement in both science and technology.

Sadly, only the “fun” part of the scientific method is being taught – formulating hypotheses and models that might explain what we observe ... the difficult half – where hypotheses are challenged, evaluated, and likely fail, is not.

Students aren't learning to test ... evaluate ... or criticize explanations.

Yet, it is testing and evaluation that sets science apart from the humanities, from ideology and dogma. It's the very heart of the scientific method.

Instead, students are taught to build consensus – to seek agreement with their peers on the causes and solutions, without the need to think critically, defend their hypothesis, or their proposed solutions.

Sadly, this same problem – the consensus comes first – exists at higher levels of education as well.

As my colleagues and I can attest, dissenting from the “consensus” position of the increasingly left-leaning scientific established in academia, government, and our professional scientific associations, is dangerous to your career and reputation.

Young scientists in academia who dare deviate from the accepted narrative will likely be denied tenure – and you can forget having your research, no matter how well done – funded, let alone be published in leading science journals.

Boldness in challenging assumptions – one of the necessary ingredients to a rigorous, thriving scientific community that pushes innovation – now hinders career advancement.

The message is clear: conform to the party-line, or lose access to great careers, research opportunities, and publications.

And our disgraceful news media is only too happy to act as a megaphone against any person who challenges the climate alarmist narrative.

I'm sure you're familiar with headlines like these:

Global temperatures are the hottest ever on record ... Melting ice caps are causing sea levels to rise dangerously ... Extreme weather events have never been more frequent or destructive ... Climate change due to increased carbon dioxide is risking lives, economies, and the planet ... Fracking is poisoning our air, water, and climate ... and my personal favorite:

97 Percent of Scientists Agree ...

Have you ever wondered how the *LA Times*, Associated Press, Weather Channel, and your local news media always seem to present similar one-sided stories on climate change, fossil fuels, “green” energy and other environmental issues?

That's because there is a carefully coordinated alliance operating behind the scenes to propagate a single narrative – with the underlying message that it's all based on carefully researched “science.”

Instead of describing scientific discoveries in a particular field, “science” journalism today is advocacy – where writers seek to push readers toward a politically approved opinion.

Sadly, this new style of science reframes factual scientific debates as ideological battles, and aids in the polarization of public opinion.

Scientific innovation and education aren't the only losers to “the science” narrative.

Government programs and policies based on ideological “science” vs. rigorous, questioning science destroy our energy sector, our economy and our environment.

While the Trump Administration is committed to federal efforts aimed at increasing America's energy independence, this does nothing to eliminate the expansive state commitments to the Green Agenda.

The government-mandated shift to alternative energy – vigorously championed by policymakers, environmental activists, and corporate leaders – has run almost unopposed for at least the last two decades.

Driven by the fear of human-caused global warming, “Net Zero” programs have been sold as a necessity to avert the alleged dangers of climate change.

However, rather than offering a viable solution, this transition has proven to be a costly miscalculation, inconsistent with the realities of energy requirements.

Oil and natural gas power more than 98 percent of American transportation requirements and serve as essential raw materials for countless products, from pharmaceuticals to everyday plastics—functions that so-called renewables cannot replicate.

The reliability and adaptability of fossil fuels are not luxuries but essentials.

Proponents of the green transition often downplay these facts, relying on the assumption that future technological advances will seamlessly fill the massive gap between solar, wind and other forms of so-called “green” energy and fossil fuels.

But wishful thinking is not a strategy.

Germany’s Energiewende, a multi-decade experiment in renewables, has led to some of the highest electricity prices in Europe while still requiring coal as a backup for intermittent wind and solar power.

And here at home, California’s aggressive push for green energy at taxpayer expense has resulted in rolling blackouts and soaring utility bills—clear cases of ideology trumping practical energy policy.

The biggest example of a Californian solution in search of a problem is the Ivanpah solar installation in the Mojave Desert.

Once heralded as a “dawn of a new era in power generation in the United States,” Ivanpah is set to be closed just 11 years into its promised 25-year long life cycle.

In order to construct the Ivanpah solar installation in California, developers hired biologists to pull threatened desert tortoises from their burrows. Many died after being caged in pens and loaded into pickup trucks.

The massive fields of mirrors directed sunlight to the tops of tall towers generating 900-degree heat. Each year, at least 6,000 birds flying into this array simply burned up.

Ivanpah also wasted California’s precious water resources, taking millions and millions of gallons of water to clean the mirrors and generate power. Apparently, water rationing is not important in deserts – only people like you and me should be punished for “wasting” water.

All of this destruction for a \$2.2 billion (including at least \$1.5 billion in federal loans) unreliable power source that never generated the amount of power that was promised – will shut down 11 years after coming online.

Californians were fleeced, forced to pay about \$200 a mega-watt hour on average during summer months, instead of paying just \$35 a mega-watt hour for power from natural-gas or clean-coal power plants.

Sadly, California is not the only state pursuing radical green energy policies.

- Twenty-three states have laws or executive orders requiring Net Zero electricity by 2050. Power companies have been forced to comply with state mandates, raising costs while lowering reliability.
- Twenty-two states have electric vehicle (EV) mandates, requiring all sales of new cars to be EVs by some future date, like 2035.

- Forty-eight states have “Climate Action Plans” with specific greenhouse gas emission reduction goals and detailed actions to meet those goals – along with resilience strategies and economic and social goals.

Forcing a move away from fossil fuels is only affordable for the wealthy, while the rest of us will be left to face economic hardships, reduced quality of life, and diminished opportunities.

While the changes at the federal level being pushed by the Trump Administration are laudable, they do not address the full magnitude of the problem.

Which is why the CO₂ Coalition educates leaders across all levels of government.

With your help, we can help state and local policymakers face the economic and physical realities of energy production.

We can stop the misguided efforts to reduce carbon emissions – from cap-and-trade schemes to government mandates favoring solar and wind that are both costly to consumers and damaging to the reliability of our electrical grid.

There is another hidden reason we need to move away from so-called “green,” “renewable” energy – it’s destroying our environment.

For decades, we’ve been told that massive wind and solar power systems would reduce pollution, improve human health, and protect the environment.

From where we’re standing, this is nothing short of a big lie.

For starters, batteries required for solar storage in Electric Vehicles require lithium. Mining lithium needs 2 metric tons of water for every single kilogram of extracted metal ... and each Tesla battery requires about 10 kilograms of lithium. *Here’s the kicker – lithium is usually found in deserts.*

EV batteries also require cobalt – which often employ child labor to extract it – turning once green areas into barren lands. The once popular urban neighborhood of Kasulo, located in the Democratic Republic of the Congo, is now unlivable.

The mining required for rare earth minerals results in deforestation, soil erosion, water contamination, loss of wildlife habitats, air pollution, and adverse health effects like lead poisoning.

And this environmental destruction is just from sourcing the minerals necessary.

In addition, damage from solar and wind are causing irreversible damage to thousands of bird species, including those listed in endangered and vulnerable categories.

In 2021, scientists completed a comprehensive study of 825 peer-reviewed articles examining the effects of industrial wind installations on animals. This study concluded that the “construction, operation and maintenance of wind facilities” was responsible for 888,000 bat and 573,000 bird fatalities in the United States each year. These fatalities include a large number of our national symbol, the bald eagle.

Unknown to most citizens is the fact that the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) has established a “take limit” for wind energy companies to kill bald eagles.

This is like a bag limit on eagles for hunters. However, hunters, not being of the protected class of environmental elites, would be subject to a maximum fine of \$250,000 and two years of imprisonment for a felony conviction for killing even one eagle.

The FWS bald eagle take limits were revised in February 2022 to allow a more than four-fold increase in the legalized slaughter of this noble bird. Now, more than 15,000 bald eagles are permitted to be killed every year by industrial-scale wind plantations.

And now, we are experiencing a surge of whale deaths on our nation's Atlantic Coast.

Since 2016, when acoustic sonar surveys required for construction of 1,500 wind turbines began on the U.S. Atlantic coast, 174 Humpback whales have washed ashore dead. That's a 400% increase in mortalities from previous years. Additionally, the highly endangered North Atlantic right whale population has seen a dangerous decline in population and is currently in extreme danger of extinction that is likely exacerbated from proposed industrial-scale offshore wind.

But organizations that should be concerned are shrugging off this tragedy.

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and Greenpeace have sided with the wind turbines over the whales, stating that there is no "proof" that wind-plantation development is involved with the increase in whale mortality. *They refuse to even investigate or research a 400% increase in whale deaths.*

But the destruction of wildlife isn't the only disaster being caused by the "climate industrial complex."

These so-called "renewable" energy sources come with massive waste issues as well.

Solar panels contain toxic chemicals that can't be removed without breaking up the entire panel. Since it's far cheaper to just buy the raw materials instead of recycling the old panels, they end up in landfills – or dumped in poor African nations as the *New York Times* reported.

Wind turbines may have an even worse disposal problem than solar panels.

A single turbine blade can be longer than a wing on a jumbo jet – and they are made of fiberglass, which has to be cut by a diamond-studded saw in order to cart them away on giant trucks.

Over the next 20 years, the U.S. alone may have to dispose of 720,000 tons of turbine blade material – on top of mountains of solar and battery waste.

The hypocrisy of environmental policy is unmistakable.

Yet all this information is simply ignored. In fact, it isn't even discussed.

On the education front: our nation's teachers are being forced to use curriculum that doesn't mention a single negative consequence of so-called "green" energy, let alone offering competing analysis on the environmental benefits of CO₂. One Virginia parent writes:

"My daughter is studying Physical Science. When they got to the chapter discussing energy and renewables like solar and wind power, she mentioned all of the benefits of "clean" energy, and the horrors of fossil fuels. When I

asked her about the environmental impacts of solar and wind, she told me there were none!”

This lack of scientific inquiry – and discussion – isn’t an accident. It’s by design.

Instead of fostering discussion and debate – requiring objective analysis of data – the National Science Teachers’ Association (NSTA) advocates that teachers conform to the so-called “consensus” that human-induced emissions of carbon dioxide will cause dangerous overheating of the atmosphere.

Like the ACS, the NSTA censors or derides all dissenting scientific facts as pseudoscience, logical fallacies, misinformation or unscientific denial.

Instead of promoting science, the NSTA is encouraging the suppression of science!

This is why students are not equipped with the critical thinking or even knowledge of the scientific process that is necessary for robust inquiry and the advancement of humankind.

That’s why the CO₂ Coalition is fighting battles on multiple fronts.

- **We are helping thought leaders and legislators at the federal, state and local levels.** We are working to help our leaders understand what science is – and what it is not – while reframing the discussion from trite phrases and sound bites to objective, scientifically supported facts.

We are doing that by speaking directly to the legislators and regulators, and creating custom briefs, testimony and reports to address specific issues. Our reports have made significant contributions to rolling back the Green Agenda in several states already – and as I write this letter, we are in the process of publishing even more.

- **We are fighting in the courts.** The CO₂ Coalition has filed amicus curiae briefs (Friend of the Court briefs). Our first brief challenged the government’s attempt to assign a fallacious “social cost of carbon” through regulation – *The State of Louisiana v. Joseph R. Biden* (5th Circuit Court 6/22/22). Another recent brief challenged the EPA Endangerment Findings that declare carbon dioxide as a pollutant – *CHECC v. EPA* (D.C. Circuit Court 10/21/22).

Amicus briefs are powerful and effective tools in shaping public policy through the courts – particularly in cases where fake science is being used to create disastrous policies that impact every American.

- **We are expanding grassroots educational campaigns.** To counteract this constant barrage of pseudoscience we are working directly with grassroots organizations and state-based think tanks to promote our message of the benefits of more CO₂.

We have developed true science-based curricula and materials on CO₂ and Climate Change. Our new website, co2learningcenter.com, is a state-of-the-art platform that hosts our educational materials, including books, videos, lesson plans and more.

- **We are taking on the scientific, academic, and government institutions head on.** That have been some of the biggest purveyors of scientific censorship and the promotion of climate fiction.

These programs make the CO₂ Coalition a quadruple threat to the powerful organizations and people behind the climate-alarmist propaganda machine. Little wonder that they work so hard to shut us down.

Thanks to friends like you, we are debunking decades of indoctrination in climate-alarmist dogma and the war against fossil fuels and CO₂ while promoting a scientifically sound position that there is no reason to fear climate change.

And we are making significant inroads in our fight against the suppression of science and restoring scientific integrity.

Despite our recent successes in Texas, Virginia, and the courts, the unholy alliance between government bureaucrats, vested corporations, professional academics, the media, so-called “environmental” groups and schools have indoctrinated much of the American population.

As a result, most Americans can no longer tell the difference between Science Fact and Science Fiction.

The “climate industrial complex” is a wealthy, nasty, dangerous behemoth.

Nevertheless, it is also a house of cards that will collapse on its own but not without first doing much damage. If we work together, we can knock it down sooner rather than later!

The well-respected, independent scientists, engineers and economists who make up our membership are difficult to discredit. This gives us an unparalleled advantage over most other organizations.

And when people like you join our efforts, you add the power of public opinion which acts like a megaphone in the halls of power. That’s why I’m asking you to take a moment today to get involved in two ways.

First, you can help to fight back by sharing my letter or our website with a friend. Or maybe you could write a letter to the editor of your local paper – or even your state or federal representatives. The scientific information in this letter is well documented and available for your use.

On its own, the CO₂ Coalition is an easy target for censorship. However, when you and others like you multiply our work by sharing our message, the sound of our combined voices becomes too loud to ignore.

Second, we need your support to help keep the CO₂ Coalition on the front lines of the battle against the “climate industrial complex” and its enablers so vested in silencing scientists and suppressing science.

That is why your gift of \$100, \$250, \$500, \$750, \$1,000, or even more is so vital today.

- \$75,000 can help cover the costs of producing our next series of 10 entertaining and educational science videos, *plus* digital downloads including lesson plans, fun

experiments and supplementary learning materials – all available online at the CO₂ Learning Center.

- \$30,000 will allow us to thoroughly research and present the climate data relevant to one state or region like we have done for Virginia, Pennsylvania, the Midwest, Arkansas, and Texas.
- \$17,500 will fund a grassroots education campaign to target 20,000 Americans who need to hear the truth about CO₂ and the so-called “Climate Crisis.”

It’s a lot to ask for any one person to donate \$30,000 or \$75,000, but when added together, your gift of \$100, \$250, \$500, \$750, or even \$1,000 or more, along with the gifts of others like you can quickly help us reach these goals.


Mr. Venrick, will you step up and help the CO₂ Coalition in this fight?

With your help, the CO₂ Coalition can get around those wanting to keep us silenced. And we can transform the way science is being taught – giving kids science facts and the necessary tools to learn how to think – instead of indoctrinating them with science fiction.

We know the Climate Industrial Complex is well funded and will not be stopped easily. But working together Mr. Venrick, we can knock down its house of cards.

Thank you for helping the CO₂ Coalition defeat them in the courts, in the halls of legislative power, in our schools, and in the public square.

Sincerely,



Gregory Wrightstone
Executive Director

P.S. For more than 400 years, science has required debate. It has never been one-sided. And true promoters of science do not fear a challenge from skeptics. Today, you and I have an opportunity to work together to not only restore integrity to the field of science, but to also promote Science Fact over Climate Fiction to the masses.

Your gift of \$100, \$250, \$500, \$750, or even \$1,000 is urgently needed to help us continue fighting effectively with policy leaders, in the courts, schools and scientific community – eliminating censorship and the suppression of science from the classroom all the way to the top power brokers in the world.

If you can give \$150 or more, I will send you a signed copy of my most recent book, *A Very Convenient Warming*, as a special thank you for your gift. I look forward to hearing back from you soon.

I will fight for **SCIENTIFIC INTEGRITY!**




TO: Patrick Moore, Ph.D. former Chairman of the Board
Gregory Wrightstone, Executive Director
The CO₂ Coalition, 2677 Prosperity Avenue, #300, Fairfax, VA 22031

Dear Patrick and Greg:

- I Agree!** Americans need to be very wary of those who claim to support “truth” and “science,” but refuse to allow debate and discussion. We must fight to restore scientific integrity by insisting that the scientific method — not consensus building — be the foundation for science used in public policy, academia, and our scientific institutions.
- You can count on me!** While I am encouraged by the change of direction at the federal level, we have a long way to go to undo these unscientific policies at the state and local levels. We must also educate more Americans with the facts — that there is no climate crisis! I am rushing my most generous possible tax-deductible donation to help the CO₂ Coalition today:

- \$75,000 can help cover the costs of producing our next series of 10 entertaining and educational science videos, plus digital downloads including lesson plans, fun experiments and supplementary learning materials.
- \$30,000 will allow us to thoroughly research and present the climate data relevant to one state or region like we have done for Virginia, Pennsylvania, the Midwest, Arkansas, and Texas.
- \$17,500 will fund a grassroots education campaign to target 20,000 Americans who need to hear the truth about CO₂ and the so-called “Climate Crisis.”
- \$5,000 will sponsor placing our science-based comic books into the hands of 1,200 students.
- \$1,000 \$500 \$250 \$150* \$100 Other \$ _____



*For your gift of \$150 you will receive a signed copy of *A Very Convenient Warming*.

- My check is made payable to: **CO₂ Coalition**
- I prefer to donate by credit card: Mastercard Visa Discover Amex

ACCOUNT NUMBER	EXP.	CVV CODE
SIGNATURE		
Mr. John Venrick		
20561 Wild Horse Ranch Rd.		
Rollins, MT 59931		
EMAIL ADDRESS		
TELEPHONE (OPTIONAL)		



Contributions are 100% tax-deductible as allowed by law.

25P4SI14B

Donations are tax-deductible to the fullest extent allowed by law.

CO₂ Coalition, 2677 Prosperity Avenue • Suite 300 • Fairfax, VA 22031
Office: (703) 540-4700 • www.co2coalition.org



The CO₂ Coalition operates as a research and education organization under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. Contributions are fully tax-deductible. The CO₂ Coalition has no endowment, nor do we accept government grants or contracts.

The CO₂ Coalition relies solely on private sector contributions from corporations, foundations, and individuals. The CO₂ Coalition can accept gifts of cash, stocks, and the proceeds of life insurance policies and retirement accounts.

A copy or summary of the latest financial statement, annual report, and registration filed by the CO₂ Coalition, and a description of its charitable mission and programs, may be obtained by upon request.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PLANNED GIFTS

Here are sample instructions to share with your advisor to include the CO₂ Coalition in your estate plans:

I give, devise and bequeath to the CO₂ Coalition (tax ID# 47-3722575), a non-profit, corporation located at 2677 Prosperity Avenue, Suite 300, Fairfax, VA 22031.

- a. *The sum of \$ _____ or,*
- b. *_____ percent of my estate; or,*
- c. *all the rest, remainder and residue of my estate, including property over which I shall have power of appointment at my passing, after all debts, taxes, expenses and other bequests have been satisfied.*

Some states require that special notices be included with each solicitation. If you are a resident of one of these states, you may obtain a copy of our financial information, annual report and/or registration directly from your state agency (the toll-free numbers are for use only with the respective states): California: Attorney General's Registry of Charitable Trusts, Department of Justice, P.O. Box 903447, Sacramento, CA 94203-4470, or (916) 445-2021. Florida: A copy of the official registration and financial information may be obtained from the Division of Consumer Services by calling toll-free within the state 1-800-435-7352. Registration does not imply endorsement, approval, or recommendation by the state. Georgia: Upon request, CO₂ Coalition will provide a full and fair description of this and its other programs, and a financial statement or summary. Illinois: Contracts and reports regarding CO₂ Coalition are on file with the Illinois Attorney General. Maryland: Information filed with the Secretary of State may be obtained for the cost of copies and postage from office of the Secretary of State, State House, Annapolis, MD 21401. Minnesota: 100% of your gift (in excess of any substantial benefit you received in exchange for your contribution) may be deducted as a charitable contribution under Federal and state income tax laws. Mississippi: The official registration and financial information of CO₂ Coalition may be obtained from the Mississippi Secretary of State's office by calling 1-888-236-6167. Registration by the Secretary of State does not imply endorsement by the Secretary of State. New Jersey: Information filed with the Attorney General concerning this charitable solicitation may be obtained from the Attorney General of the State of New Jersey by calling (973) 504-6215. Registration with the attorney general does not imply endorsement. New York: Upon request, a copy of CO₂ Coalition's last annual report filed with the Attorney General is available from CO₂ Coalition or from the New York State Attorney General's Charities Bureau, Attn: FOIL Officer, Department of State, 120 Broadway, New York, New York 10271. North Carolina: Financial information about this organization and a copy of its license are available from the State Solicitation Licensing Branch at 1-888- 830-4989. The license is not an endorsement by the State. Pennsylvania: The official registration and financial information of CO₂ Coalition may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of State by calling toll-free, within Pennsylvania, 1-800-732-0999. Registration does not imply endorsement. Virginia: State Division of Consumer Affairs, Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, P.O. Box 1163, Richmond, VA 23218. Washington: The CO₂ Coalition is registered with the Washington State Charities Program as required by law and information may be obtained by calling (800) 332-4483 or (360) 725-0378 or by visiting <http://www.sos.wa.gov/charities/>. West Virginia: Residents may obtain a summary of the registration and financial documents from the Secretary of State, State Capitol, Charleston, WV 25305. Registration does not imply endorsement. Wisconsin: A financial statement of the charitable organization disclosing assets, liabilities, fund balances, revenue and expenses for the preceding fiscal year will be provided to any person upon request.